

Appendix 6 Stakeholder Consultation Register

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	EPA Services, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER)	19/11/2021	Meeting (MS Teams)	EPA Services DWER: Natalie McAlpine, Aidan Walsh Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate Globe Environments for JBS&G: Stuart Hawkins	Discussion to confirm additional information requirements of EPA under s40(2)(a) Notice (i.e. changes to Environmental Review Document and supporting reports).	EPA Services DWER considered the ERD to be satisfactory to assess the Revised Proposal at the level of 'Referral Information', however required some additional information / clarification to further inform the EPA assessment for a number of preliminary environmental factors (including matters not of significant environmental concern, however should be included for public review). Discussion and agreement was reached on matters which required amendment to the ERD and/or supporting documents, which addressed the majority of the EPA requests. A number of matters did not require amendment to the ERD or supporting documents, with Covalent Lithium to respond to such matters in other written correspondence.	Covalent Lithium to amend the ERD and changes to the supporting reports in accordance with the meeting discussions to address the EPA s40(2)(a) Notice.	
Mt Holland	Environmental Protection Authority	27/10/2021 15/11/2021	Level of Assessment and Request for Additional Information	EPA: Chair, Prof. Matthew Tonts Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate	27/10/2021 - Decision to assess the 'Revised Proposal' at the assessment level of 'Referral Information', with 2-week public comment period 15/11/2021 – Section 40(2)(a) Notice request for additional information to inform the EPA assessment	Section 40(2)(a) Notice request by EPA for additional information to identify/clarify: - Effect to flora taxa and vegetation units as a percentage value - Extent of existing disturbance to native vegetation in the area of the proposed Solar Farm - Effect to fauna taxa habitat as a percentage value - Methodology used for LiDAR identification of potential Malleefowl nest mounds, including field-survey to validate LiDAR results - Rationale for field surveys for the sugar ant <i>Camponotus</i> sp. nr. <i>terebrens</i> as the host for Arid Bronze Azure Butterfly - Information on proposed 'wet' Tailings Storage Facility including construction details, water balance, potential seepage / groundwater quality, mine closure and environmental monitoring - Copies of additional waste rock geochemical characterisation reports (as previously provided to DMIRS) - Environmental offset approach for significant residual environmental effects, including relationship to the Statement 1118 approval, and consultation/status of offsets and environmental assessment with DAWE - Greenhouse gas emissions values for Scope 3 emissions, a breakdown of emissions levels, emissions intensity, and benchmarking of emissions against comparable projects - Conversion of 'Key Characteristics Table' format to a 'Proposal Content Document' format (in accordance with EPA's revised procedures released after the Referral).	Not applicable	
Mt Holland	Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE)	14/10/2021	Request Variation to Conditions of EPBC Decision 2017/7950 approval (EPBC Act)	DAWE: Daniel Brownsdon Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate	Letter request for variation to conditions of the EPBC Decision 2017/7950 approval to incorporate the 'Revised Proposal' changes. Documentation submitted included: - Letter request for variation - Environmental Review Document (EIA, Rev0) - Biological survey reports - Spatial data	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Mt Holland	Environmental Protection Authority	30/08/2021	Referral of Revised Proposal (EP Act)	EPA: Chair, Prof. Matthew Tonts Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate	Referral of 'Revised Proposal' to the Environmental Protection Authority under s38(1) of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> (WA). Referral documentation included: - Referral Form - Environmental Review Document (EIA, Rev0) - Biological survey reports - Spatial data	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Mt Holland	Department of Mines, Industry, Regulation and Safety (DMIRS)	18/08/21	Meeting at DMIRS	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate Strategen-JBS&G: Tim Bowra Globe Environments: Stuart Hawkins DMIRS: Demelza Dravnieks, Felicity Huxtable, Laura Copeland	Pre-referral meeting to discuss amendment to approved Project under EP Act and EPBC Act for : - Change of Dry to wet TSF - All changes are within the existing pre-approved DE - Installation of solar panels and associated clearing footprint - Airstrip additional safety clearing - Amendment to fauna exclusion areas for Malleefowl to remove prior misidentification of nest mounds - Amendment to flora exclusion areas - Return of Kwinana refinery waste for on-site disposal to WRL - Potential offset sites were currently being assessed for presence of Chuditch and Malleefowl	Discussed project context/history of the abandoned Mt Holland Mine Site, and the proposed changes to Proposal previously assessed and approved under the EP Act and EPBC Act. DMIRS identified as a Decision Making Authority and to provide advice to EPA during the environmental assessment, in particular on mine closure. DMIRS suggested consideration for an option of the Solar Plant location on the abandoned Mt Holland TSF (eastern side), with Covalent Lithium identifying potential constraints (geotechnical, contamination liability, tenure) limiting this consideration. Discussions included the geochemical and geotechnical nature and volumes of both the wet tailings materials and the refinery waste proposed for disposal. Discussions further included consideration of the extent and type of earthworks and clearing for the Airstrip safety clearing, and the minor drainage line to the south of the Airstrip.	Referral of proposed changes under the EP Act and EPBC Act in August 2021. Submission of Revised Mining Proposal (incorporating the proposed changes) under the Mining Act to be submitted from Q3 2021.	

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	Department of Mines, Industry, Regulation and Safety (DMIRS)	13/08/21	Meeting at DMIRS	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Brigitta Longbottom DMIRS: Felicity Huxtable, Demelza Dravnieks	Meeting to examine options for sourcing Borrow Pit material.	DMIRS confirmed that borrow could not be sourced from under TSF as G77/137 tenure does not have the permitted activity of borrow material. The second option for sourcing material is on M77/1066 and within the existing disturbance footprint and ok to be utilised for Borrow material without further approvals.	Covalent to review options for borrow from Wescef and consider change of tenure to include activity	
Mt Holland	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) – EPA Services	29/07/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate Strategen: Tim Bowra Globe Environments: Stuart Hawkins DWER: Helen Butterworth, Natalie McAlpine	Teams pre-referral meeting to discuss amendment to Ministerial Statement. Amendment covers: - Change of Dry to wet TSF - All changes are within the existing pre-approved DE - Installation of solar panels and associated clearing footprint - Removal of malleefowl mounds which are not genuine mounds - Amendment to exclusion zones - Return of refinery waste to Mt Holland - Covalent advised that potential offset sites were currently being assessed for presence of chuditch and malleefowl	Confirmed all flora surveys completed. DWER advised Greenhouse gas calculations required and Greenhouse Gas Management Plan required if over 100 kt.	Submission of s38 scheduled for end July	
Mt Holland	Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE)	21/07/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate Strategen: Tim Bowra Globe Environments: Stuart Hawkins DAWE: David Brownsdon, Rebecca Baumgartner, Vaughan Cox, Hannah Cox	Teams pre-referral meeting to discuss amendment to Ministerial Statement. Amendment covers : - Change of Dry to wet TSF - All changes are within the existing pre-approved DE - Installation of solar panels and associated clearing footprint - Removal of malleefowl mounds which are not genuine mounds - Amendment to exclusion zones - Return of refinery waste to Mt Holland - Covalent advised that potential offset sites were currently being assessed for presence of chuditch and malleefowl	Discussed project context/history and changes to proposal. Confirmed that additional clearing will remove fauna habitat but not impact Malleefowl mounds or Chuditch dens, and the changes to exclusion zones will increase protection of Banksia. Current approved management plans can be continued to be implemented (with administrative changes). DAWE (DB): Potential for new referral to only address the conditions to be revised (S 143 instead of S 68 process).	Submission of s38 scheduled for end July	
Mt Holland	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA)	2/07/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate Strategen: Tim Bowra Globe Environments: Stuart Hawkins DBCA: Lindsay Bourke, Cassywna Greg	Teams pre-referral meeting to discuss amendment to Ministerial Statement. Amendment covers: -Change of Dry to wet TSF -All changes are within the existing pre-approved DE -Installation of solar panels and associated clearing footprint -Removal of malleefowl mounds which are not genuine mounds -Amendment to exclusion zones -Return of refinery waste to Mt Holland -Covalent advised that potential offset sites were currently being assessed for presence of chuditch and malleefowl	No concerns raised by DBCA regarding proposal. DBCA asked Covalent to confirm status of acquisition of existing approved fauna offsets site and questioned fencing arrangements.	Covalent is currently in the process of subdividing Site 10.	
Mt Holland	DAWE	25/06/2021	Field trip to inspect Site 10 Offsets site	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate DAWE: Vaughan Cox, Hannah McFarlane	Covalent advised DAWE it would be submitting a s38 assessment mid year. All areas associated with submission are within the existing approved DE.	DAWE advised Covalent was lucky with 1:4 disturbance ratio on the recently approved site 10 and to expect a higher ratio in future offsets. DAWE advised the pre-assessment will be more involved in the pre-assessment with regards to offsets.	Agreed to consult prior to submission	
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	16/06/2021	Meeting (Southern Cross) prior to heritage survey	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate MG: Rakehia Indich, Adrienne Peel Baker, Shay Trott, Linda Trott, Elsie Forrest, Yvette Chambers, Corey Logan	Provided an introduction to Covalent Lithium and the Mt Holland project.	Discussed the environmental approvals completed to date and the amendments to these approvals that were currently in preparation. Mine Closure and rehabilitation processes were discussed.		
Mt Holland	DBCA	12/02/2021	Teams Meeting	DBCA: Lindsay Bourke, Nick Woolfrey Covalent Lithium: L Nick Vickery, Anthea Pate Strategen: Louise Whiteley	Meeting required to discuss comments on draft EPBC Fauna Offsets Management Plan	DBCA advised they had inspected Site 10 and have agreed to endorse it as a suitable offset site. Verbal feedback from DMIRS recommending Site 10 be conserved was sufficient for DBCA and letter from DG would be sent within the next week. Methodology for purchase i.e. whether the DBCA would immediately be the landholder is subject to purchase price. If it was above market value they could not be the initial landholder. Covalent advised that purchase would be delayed if Covalent had to purchase as it would be subject to FIBR. MOU to be developed with DBCA and Covalent. DBCA requested boundary b offset by 5m to allow for firebreaks without clearing native vegetation.	Sufficient information and clarification was provided to proceed with OMP for DAWE	

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	Minister Leys office (Federal Environment Minister)	3/02/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate Wesfarmers: Ian Hanson, Naomi Flutter DAWE: Declan O'Connor, William Crook, Dwain McMaugh, Vaughn Cox DPGAdvisory: David Gazard	Follow up meeting to discuss the findings of DAWE investigation as to why offset ratios had changed.	DAWE advised it was agreed that offset ratios proposed were 75% and that correspondence would be sent requesting further clarification of offset methodology.	Covalent will respond to letter when received.	
Mt Holland	Minister Leys office (Federal Environment Minister)	19/01/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate Wesfarmers: Ian Hanson, Naomi Flutter DAWE: William Crook, Simon Fontana, Dwain McMaugh DPGAdvisory: David Gazard	Meeting to discuss implications of proposed change to offset ratios	Covalent advised of the implications and uncertainty this change in proposed in offset ratios to the project. DAWE advised they would be investigating the movement of the goal posts and report back to Covalent in 2 weeks time.	Meeting to be arranged in two weeks	
Mt Holland	DBCA	7/01/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate DBCA: Nick Woolfrey, Murray Baker Strategen: Louise Whitley	Discuss land management agreement requirements between DBCA and Covalent for fauna offsets area	No resolution to the process for land acquisition and whether Covalent will purchase and transition to DBCA or whether land will be purchased under DBCA from the onset.	Another meeting to be arranged.	
Mt Holland	DWER, DBCA, DMIRS & Department of Jobs, Tourism, Science and Innovation (DJTSI)	6/01/2021	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate, Nick Vickery DMIRS: Samantha Carter, Mike Freeman, Kevin Ridge DBCA: Nick Woolfrey, DWER: Helen Butterworth, Gary Ogston JTSI: Tia Byrd, Ben Peden	One granted and two pending tenement applications overlay the proposed offset site (Site 10). Covalent was seeking to understand implications for offset land and for DBCA endorsement of Site 10	DMIRS advised that a file notation had been provided for Site 10 for future tenement applications. Tenement holders would need to be notified and once feedback from tenement holders is received DMIRS would make a recommendation to the Minister which may involve conservation of Offset land.	DMIRS to officially contact tenement holders and advise of status. DMIRS recommended that Covalent also contact each tenement holder to discuss	
Mt Holland	Stephens	27/12/2020	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Stephens: Kim & Carolyn Stephens	Lunch meeting to provide a project overview and continue discussions on the option to construct the water pipeline across the Stephens land.	In principle agreement by the Stephens, agreement subject to liaising further on terms.	Covalent to provide compensation offer	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DAWE & DWER	21/12/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate DAWE: Vaughn Cox, Hannah McFarlane DWER: Robert Hughes, Helenbutterworth, Gary Ogston	Meeting to discuss calculation of land offsets and aligning the Fauna offsets required under the Ministerial Statement	DAWE advised staged offsets could be arranged to enable approvals to break ground whilst other parcels of land are investigated for offsets. This could be done via a variation to EPBC statement and a amended Offsets Management Plan DWER advised Offset strategy received which meets compliance requirement to break ground. No approval required to break ground.	Covalent to amend OMP	
Mt Holland	DAWE	17/12/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate DAWE: Vaughn Cox, Hannah McFarlane Strategen: Kane Moyle, Louise Whitely	Meeting called to workshop the habitat assessment calculator	DAWE advised that the proposed fauna offset site (Site 10) would only represent 40% of offset requirements.	Issue to be escalated with Commonwealth Ministers office	
Mt Holland	DAWE	16/12/2020	Teams Meeting	DAWE: Vaughn Cox, Hannah McFarlane Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate Strategen: Kane Moyle, Louise Whitely	Workshop Habitat Assessment Methodology	Did not complete workshop of methodology.	Another meeting to be arranged.	
Mt Holland	DJTSI	16/12/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate JTSI: Kieran Dawson, Steve Dawson DMIRS: Demelzia Dravnieks, Dan Endacot, Felicity Huxtable	Discussed the RFI and concerns with timing delays incurred in DMIRS assessing the MP	DMIRS advised that aspects of the s45c and s46 would need to be removed from initial Mining Proposal and a separate Mining Proposal submission would need to be prepared following approval of s45c and s46.	Additional Mining Proposal to be prepared	
Mt Holland	DJTSI	15/12/2020	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate JTSI: Kieran Dawson, Steve Dawson	Covalent advised of concern with delays to approvals across multiple agencies and is seeking assistance from JTSI to contact all agencies and get a revised schedule.	JTSI to canvas all agencies and provide feedback on status for each approval	JTSI to provide feedback	
Mt Holland	DAWE	14/12/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate DAWE: Vaughn Cox, Hannah McFarlane	Fauna Offset	DAWE advised Covalent and consultants need to provide agreement as to why the calculator numbers are as they are.	Covalent to provide technical paper to explain why 100% offsets is appropriate	
Mt Holland	DAWE	10/12/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Ross Martelli DAWE: Vaughn Cox, Hannah McFarlane	DAWE advised they did not believe the proposed offset land (Site 10) met 100% of our offset targets.	DAWE advised they thought offset site was only worth 40% of offsets. Discussed habitat assessment methodology and quality of site 10.	Issues not resolved - another meeting to be organised for next week.	

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DBCA	30/11/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Nicholas Vickery & Cameron Levitzke DBCA: Lindsay Bourke	To discuss the process, timing and responsibility for land acquisition of the fauna offsets site	Advice received from DBCA that they did not have the resources to undertake the land acquisition for Site 10. Covalent have agreed to expedite land acquisition process.	Covalent to work closely with DBCA and keep them informed of status.	
Mt Holland	DWER	26/11/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Brigitta Longbottom DWER: Carmen Standring GHD: Karen Frehill	Scoping Meeting for Works Approval - WWTP. Queried if pumping of saline water from air vent required a WA	Two units required for camp. Separately don't trigger thresholds for WA but collectively do so WA required. Confirmed Works Approval not required for saline disposal.	WA will be submitted in December	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER	6/11/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate DWER: Mitchell Ong	Meeting to discuss amending current GWL licence and confirm no 26D required to pump saline water from air vent	DWER advised submission of new groundwater licence required for saline water not amendment as it had expired. Confirmed no 26D required for pumping from vent shaft. Advised it would be an interim licence for construction period and would take approx 4 weeks to process not 60 as DWER work load was currently lighter.	Covalent to submit a 5C licence application within the next week.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DAWE & DWER	4/11/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Nick Vickery, Anthea Pate DWER: Robert Hughes DAWE: Vaughan Cox, Hannah McFarlane	Meeting to agree on alternative Flora Offset strategy comprising rehabilitation and agree on approval mechanisms required to implement the change	DWER advised change could be done through an amended S46 and Translocation proposal. DAWE advised approval could be gained through 'variation to conditions' and Ironcap Banksia Conservation Plan	Covalent to send advice to DWER it wishes to amend the s46. Covalent also required to request a variation to conditions from DAWE.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DAWE	3/11/2020	Teams Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Nick Vickery, Anthea Pate DAWE: Vaughan Cox, Hannah McFarlane	Meeting to advise no flora offset land acquisition was available and discuss other options	DAWE advised that they would consider rehab offsets and advise tomorrow	Agreed to meet with DWER	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DWER	2/11/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli, Anthea Pate DWER: Robert Hughes	Meeting to advise no flora offset land acquisition was available and discuss other options	DWER agreed that they would be comfortable with rehabilitation offsets	Agreed to meet with DAWE	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DMIRS	22/10/2020	DMIRS office	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Brigitta Longbottom DMIRS: Demelzia Dravnieks	Met to brief new DMIRS officer about Mt Holland Project and provide background to contents of Mining Proposal	DMIRS queried submission of MP without tenure and without TSF. Also questioned whether vent shaft was going to be filled.	Covalent to send copies of emails confirming assumptions for MP	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	22/09/2020	Meeting (Shire of Yilgarn)	Covalent Lithium: Tim Botica & Craig Sutherland Shire of Yilgarn: Robert Bosenburg Primerio: Michael Harder	Meeting to discuss construction of the water pipeline, land access and roads.	Discussed various restrictions in construction methodologies and location of water pipeline in road verge/drain.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DMIRS	10/09/2020	Meeting (MS Teams)	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Bruce Rackham, Tim Gilbert SRK: Joe Rola DMIRS: Felicity Huxtable & Tyler Sujdovic	Waste Rock Landform Geotech and air vent (Bounty) closure requirements.	In principle agreement on geotech requirements for WRL and information required for vents in Mining Proposal.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	10/09/2020	Meeting – Covalent Lithium, Perth	Covalent Lithium: Nicholas Vickery, Ross Martelli, Ric Colgan, Ian Hansen, Aaron Hood, Mark Fones Marlinyu Ghoorlie: Tania Champion, Mark Champion	Signing ceremony for Native Title Agreement.	Covalent and the Marlinyu Ghoorlie representatives present agreed that they were looking forward to working together for their mutual benefit.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DBCA	1/09/2020	Meeting - DBCA	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate & Brigitta Longbottom DWER: Helen Butterworth, Robert Hughes, Stuart Simmonds & Garry Ogston DBCA: Lindsay Bourke, Murray Baker & Nicholas Woolfrey JBS&G: Louise Whitley Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske & David Angus	EGLP Flora and Fauna Offset Strategies; overview of related approvals/discussions between Covalent and State and Federal regulators, suitability of proposed offset areas for addition to the DBCA conservation reserve system, clarification on the subdivision/acquisition/transfer process, overview of MOU agreement between parties, next steps to be implemented and by whom.	Agreement on suitability of offset areas for addition to the DBCA conservation reserve system. Clarification on DBCA resources available to facilitate process. DBCA will commence the process for developing the MOU for land acquisition and management.	Covalent to commence process of what parcels of land are available for purchase.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DMIRS & DJTSI	26/08/2020	Meeting - DMIRS	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate & Cameron Levitzke DMIRS: Rob Irwin, Ian Mitchell DJSTI: Steve Dawson	Mt Holland general legacy and responsibilities.	Clarification received on available funding for MRF. DMIRS request Covalent to log legacy infrastructure on formal register.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DWER Contaminated Sites Branch	14/08/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Brigitta Longbottom DWER: Justin Ritchie	Discussions on recent site classification as a known or suspected contaminated site (Possibly contaminated - investigation required).	Implications of classification and assumptions for Mine Closure Plan.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	7/08/2020	Meeting (Kalgoorlie)	Covalent Lithium: Nicholas Vickery Marlinyu Ghoorlie: The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group and their solicitors, Simon Blackshield and Rob Houston.	Meeting with the Marlinyu Ghoorlie group to try and finalise the draft Native Title Agreement.	Native Title Agreement was finalised pending some minor outstanding issues.	Covalent to provide further draft of the Native Title Agreement.	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DWER & DBCA	4/08/2020	Workshop - DWER Joondalup	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate & Brigitta Longbottom DWER: Helen Butterworth, Robert Hughes, Stuart Simmonds & Garry Ogston JBS&G: Louise Whitley Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske & David Angus	Workshop leading on from meeting on the 28/07 to discuss specific priority flora species impacts. Agenda included background and history of the original EGLP EPA assessment, review of EPA Report 1651 and Ministerial Statement 1118, project update from Covalent, and Workshop to confirm methodology and acceptable percentage impact for priority flora species.	Limitations of acceptable impacts based on original EPA assessment in Report 1651 (10%). Additional flora surveys required.	Flora Management Plan to be resubmitted post Spring surveys.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DWER, DBCA & DJTSI	28/07/2020	Meeting (MS Teams)	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate & Brigitta Longbottom DWER: Helen Butterworth, Robert Hughes & Garry Ogston DBCA: Lindsay Bourke, Ruth Harvey, Murray Baker & Stuart Simmonds DJTSI: Steve Dawson Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske & David Angus JBS&G: Louise Whitley	Project update and review of comments provided on the Flora and Vegetation Management Plan by DBCA and EPA Services.	Workshop to be organised with relevant stakeholders to confirm acceptable impact to priority flora species.	Workshop to be organised.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS & DWER	23/07/2020	Meeting (MS Teams)	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Ross Martelli & Brigitta Longbottom DMIRS: Rob Irwin & Felicity Huxtable DWER: Robert Hughes	Project update and concurrent environmental approval submissions, timing and implications for the Mining Proposal.	In principle agreement.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Ongoing
Mt Holland	DMIRS	17/07/2020	Meeting (MS Teams)	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Nicholas Vickery & Brigitta Longbottom DMIRS: Rob Irwin & Felicity Huxtable	Project update (Section 45C/46, WRD change to TSF, DS TSF changed to WTSF etc) and clarity requested on certain elements within Mining Proposal.	Confirmed Mining Proposal will represent a 10 year Mine and WRL. Clarification provided on legacy and tenement queries. Implications of classification and assumptions for Mine Closure Plan.	Ongoing engagement will occur	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Symes	2/07/2020	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Symes: Phillip Symes	Further discussions on location, compensation and the project.	In principle agreement.	Draft agreement to be provided.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Zwecks	2/07/2020	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Zwecks: Melissa & Andrew Zweck	Further discussions on location, compensation and the project.	In principle agreement.	Draft agreement to be provided.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	1/07/2020	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Tim Gilbert, Ric Colgan & Cameron Levitzke Shire of Yilgarn: Peter Clarke & Rob Bosenburg	Meeting to discuss construction of the water pipeline, land access and roads.	Discussed various route options and construction methodologies for the water pipeline and concentrate haul road to Great Eastern Highway.	Incorporate feedback into process.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Riccardello and Musca	12/06/2020	Email	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Riccardello: Anna Riccardello & Dominic Musca	Specific questions relating to the technical aspects of the Western Power construction raised.	Responses from Western Power provided in email.	Acceptance of methodology.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Riccardello and Musca	13/05/2020	Emails & phone calls	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Riccardello: Anna Riccardello	Emailed overview of the Covalent Project and explained the requirement for the construction of power infrastructure.	Various emails and phone calls to clarify aspects of the project and compensation.	Follow info to be provided.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Symes	12/05/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Symes: Phillip Symes	Provided an overview of the Covalent Project and explained the requirement for the construction of power infrastructure and pump station. Advised he would be happy to consider the lines and pump station being constructed in their land subject to appropriate compensation being received.	In principle agreement.	Follow up meeting to discuss detail.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Riccardello and Musca	12/05/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Riccardello: Anna Riccardello	Provided an overview of the Covalent Project and explained the requirement for the construction of power infrastructure. Advised he would be happy to consider the lines and pump station being constructed in their land subject to appropriate compensation being received and discussions with other family members.	Further information requested.	Follow info to be provided.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Zwecks	11/05/2020	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Zwecks: Melissa Zweck	Provided an overview of the Covalent Project and explained the requirement for the construction of power infrastructure adjacent to their property. Advised they would be happy to consider the lines being constructed in their land subject to appropriate compensation being received.	In principle agreement.	Follow up meeting to discuss detail.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	20/04/2020	Teleconference	Covalent Lithium: Nicholas Vickery Marlinyu Ghoorlie: The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group and their solicitors, Simon Blackshield and Rob Houston.	Teleconference with the Marlinyu Ghoorlie group to try and finalise the draft Native Title Agreement terms sheet. Subsequent discussions were had with Mr Simon Blackshield, solicitor for the Marlinyu Ghoorlie group.	- The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group remained generally supportive of the project however the parties could not agree the draft Native Title Agreement terms sheet.	Covalent to send counterproposal.	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	Main Roads WA	1/02/2020	Emails & phone calls	Covalent Lithium: Cameron Levitzke Main Roads: Russell Baulch	Various phone calls and emails to agree location and terms for constructing water pipeline under Great Eastern Highway.	Main Roads provided standard documentation and location preferences for Covalent review.	Location of pipeline amended	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	18/12/2019	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli & Cameron Levitzke Shire of Yilgarn: Peter Clarke & Rob Bosenburg	Meeting to discuss construction of the water pipeline, land access and roads.	Discussed various route options and construction methodologies for the water pipeline and concentrate haul road to Great Eastern Highway.	Incorporate feedback into process.	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Stephens	18/12/2019	Meeting	Covalent Lithium: Ross Martelli & Cameron Levitzke Stephens: Kim & Carolyn Stephens	Lunch meeting to provide a project overview, discuss the option to construct the water pipeline across the Stephens land and potential locations. Stephens provided a tour of possible locations and water pipeline connection and existing tanks.	In principle agreement by the Stephens, agreement subject to liaising further on terms.	Covalent to provide compensation offer	Ongoing
Mt Holland	Private landholder	9/12/2019	Emails & phone calls	Covalent Lithium: Shane McAdam Land holder: Paul Guerini	Request permission to access the property located at the corner of Dunbar road & the edge of the Wheatbelt for the purpose of biological surveys for Offset fauna habitat assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access is granted No need to notify of access on the day Send sms message to Paul request email address so can get the permission in writing 	Email sent to supplied email address on 31/1/20 notifying an update on land access to date.	Paul supplied email address. No response to email on 31/1/20 of notification of updates on surveys to date
Mt Holland	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD)	9/12/2019	Emails & phone call	Covalent Lithium: Shane McAdam DPIRD (Biosecurity & regulation, Agriculture & Food): Craig Robins	Discuss process for necessary permissions & permits to utilise the track that runs along the State Barrier fence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Apply for 'Permit to use the State Barrier Fence' Send permit directly to Craig Robins for approval 	Permit application submitted on 9/12/2019	Permit granted on 10/12/2019
Mt Holland	Malleefowl Recovery Team	5/12/2019	Meeting – Skype	National Malleefowl Recovery Team: Tim Burnard, Liz Kington Covalent Lithium: – Susanna Beech, Colyn Louw	<p>Presentation of proposal and fauna survey results relating to Mallee Fowl populations.</p> <p>Discussion regarding NMRT survey procedures, including Lidar, application of algorithm and ground surveys.</p> <p>Discussion relating to other protocols and procedures relating to clearing of vegetation and disturbance of Mallee Fowl mounds, breeding season, monitoring methods etc.</p> <p>Commitment to work with NMRT with respect to baseline survey and ongoing monitoring.</p> <p>Outlined that ERD to be publicly advertised and comments from NMRT.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendations from NMRT relating to survey methods and disturbance of Mallee Fowl Mounds 	<p>NMRT to provide comments during public review of ERD.</p> <p>Covalent to work with NMRT with respect to baseline Mallee Fowl surveys and ongoing monitoring.</p>	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DBCA & EPA	2/12/2019	Meeting at EPA Office, Joondalup	Covalent Lithium: Anthea Pate, Dave Richards Mattiske Consulting: Dave Angus, Libby Mattiske Strategen JBS&G: Kane Moyle, Louise Whitley DBCA: Lindsay Bourke EPASU: Helen Butterworth	Meeting to discuss the pre-clearance survey results & offset discussion and the draft flora environmental management plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preclearance surveys have been completed- Covalent needs to demonstrate how preclearance survey results have been taken into account within the Flora and Vegetation Environmental Management Plan (FVEMP)- Covalent's use of Mattiske has meant that they are familiar with the flora species onsite and that a consistent approach has been used Ironcaps Banksia and <i>Microcorys</i> sp. Mt Holland are easily identifiable outside of the Spring season Two new species have been identified onsite: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hibbertia sp. <i>Microcorys</i> sp. Mt Holland broadleaf <i>Microcorys</i> sp. Mt Holland and <i>Microcorys</i> sp. Mt Holland broadleaf are quite distinct Mitigation measures are proposed as part of the FVEMP if limits are going to be exceeded- The percentage impacts proposed to conservation significant (CS) are problematic and too simplistic as risk should be associated with change in conservation status. Covalent needs to show the rationale behind the percentage impact that they have proposed, noting that the ERD limited impact to below 10% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ~28 CS species were identified during the preclearance surveys, with ~six of them being new species- There is difficulty retrofitting new information about flora species into the ERD assessment framework which has been completed The Principle of EIA needs to be applied, which is not to increase the threatened status of CS species- Applying a proposed percentage impact across a number of species is problematic as each species biology is different Regional impacts should be an order of magnitude lower than local impacts The Priority 4 species are essentially a watchlist of those species that have been delisted from being categorised as threatened The proposed impacts would need to be justified for each species individually 	No action required	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Proposed rehabilitation needs to take into account the impact on each CS species and locations of rehabilitation will need to be considered (would make obtaining other approvals easier if locations included in the Flora MP). · Additional exclusion zones need to be formalised in the FVEMP to provide certainty about what is being protected · These additional exclusion zones need to be in place until adequate mitigation measures are implemented · There is little weight given to unproven rehabilitation trials in terms of being part of an offset strategy · The first and main mitigation strategy that needs to be implemented is upfront avoidance of CS species · The last three steps in the mitigation hierarchy (rehabilitation trials/assessing the Residual Impact Significance Model (RISM)/offsets) are to be avoided unless all other options are exhausted. It is noted that additional offsets may require some post-assessment changes and is problematic with regards to the Ministerial Statement. · Acacia sp. Mt Holland tends to be in fire burned areas – the first flush of population numbers in fire burned areas can tend to reduce over time · Offsets have proven to be problematic – the management plan should stand alone without them · Assistance from the regulators is requested to support localised rehabilitation trials on disturbed areas – awaiting internal signoff on a proposal before it is submitted · Rehabilitation should show 2 to 3 generations of successful growth of CS species before it would be considered that rehabilitation could be used as part of a mitigation hierarchy · Covalent should use the information that it has gathered from the rehabilitation trials to assist with its rehabilitation efforts. From a timing point of view, sufficient CS species rehabilitation information would not likely be available until the project is in the operational phase, therefore Covalent should undertake rehabilitation trials in the background to justify impacts (particularly in the future). · The footprint can be changed – the EPA offered assistance to fast-track any 45C submission · Some increases in CS species numbers can eventually reduce their Priority listing, but that is subject to considerations that include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Overall context for the SC individual species – threats, distribution · Local endemism rates highly as a consideration in Priority listings. Range extension counts, in relation to how many populations and sub-populations are known · Priority species are listed as such due to more information needed to complete an assessment – currently around 3,500 species are Priority listed, with about 420 on the threatened flora list · Greater understanding of regional populations is needed. Regulators can only assess what information is available · Finding more of a CS species does not necessarily mean that a proponent is permitted to remove more · The geology of the area is complex, and the relationship to flora is relatively unclear · Indirect impacts have been assigned as those occurring within 50 m of CS species individuals · Monitoring needs to be able to confirm the presence or absence of impacts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The Hibbertia presence seems to be an anomaly at present. Good management measures need to be implemented in order to reduce indirect impacts · Dust deposition bottles are not viewed as being a best practice monitoring approach · Covalent needs to consider what are the greatest threats and undertake the monitoring required to determine impact presence or absence. Other mine sites have lower triggers and better management and monitoring practices (should benchmark off BIF operations that use realtime monitoring for dust emissions to keep indirect impact minimal). · Covalent will be attempting to translocate the directly impacted Ironcaps banksia individuals. Population estimates have been significantly replaced by actual individual counts 		

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The estimates provided are conservative- The Matiske preclearing survey report is being drafted – it was going to just relate to the Project impact footprint, however it will cover the full picture of what is currently known. It should also address the different in methodology (previously used population estimates for some species and now is point data based on 10m spacing). · The regulators will assess subpopulation and fragmentation characteristics for the CS species, as well as relationships to landforms, extent, actual population numbers and how they are expressed and should take into consideration IUSN ranking assessment. · Covalent needs to address the overall risk to each species within the FVEMP, in relation to whether it occurs on freehold land, UCL, reserves etc. · Exploration impacts are generally viewed as lower than mining impacts- CALM land is not protected from exploration or mining · A number of the CS species onsite (~14) could be considered to be regionally restricted/endemic to the area · Jilbaji is not necessarily a secure location from a long term CS species conservation perspective · Cumulative impact assessment is important- The focus for the Project should be on avoidance · The IUCN quantitative criteria should be used to evaluate the extinction risk of the impacted CS species · The percentage allowable impact could be adjusted for each CS species, or a lowest common denominator approach could be used · The proposed trigger levels should be based on a sub-lethal effect · Actions should then be implemented to reduce the stress on the CS species individuals, which should also involve real-time monitoring and active management measures · The classification of local vs regional could vary by species as some population extents could relate to geology and DBCA feedback to be provided. · The proposed impacts to some of the CS species have been avoided- Minimal impact to a CS species would not need much justification · Covalent will distribute Matiske's flora survey report when it has been finalised · Further consultation with the DBCA will be sought by Covalent before submission of the FVEMP in order to ensure its acceptability · Covalent needs to minimise its impacts as much as possible- DBCA would like to avoid endless iterations of EMPs · Targeted specific enquiries are encouraged- FVEMP is planned to be submitted in Jan 2020 · Digital flora forms may be acceptable rather than hardcopy- All spatial data to be in an IBSA format · Potential offset sites have been located adjacent to reserves - Subdivision would be needed with excision of the cleared land · No site visits over the whole of the sites or a detailed site assessment has been undertaken as yet · Covalent will supply the Ecoscape report · Concern was expressed that there was only a 7 year management funding provision in the Ministerial Statement which poses a risk to the regulator and further supports their view on avoidance as a priority · Covalent could be looking at undertaking the rehabilitation of old drill lines within Jilbaji – the measures of success for this rehabilitation would need to be assessed- <i>Microcorys</i> sp. Mt Holland is not found on freehold land, so therefore Covalent will look at proposing an indirect offset strategy for rehabilitation in Jilbaji as well as further research, monitoring and management – there is some potential regulatory support for that, however it may be difficult to successfully justify that strategy · Converting UCL to a protected area can be undertaken with the assistance of DJTSL, however it is a long process · There may be more flexibility with <i>Microcorys</i> in terms of offsets- There is no guarantee that <i>Microcorys</i> will be able to be successfully rehabilitated on drill tracks, and show that there is an on-ground benefit · Fire management is not a realistic on-ground management control to propose 		

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DBCA - Wheatbelt Branch	11/11/2019	Emails & phone call	Covalent Lithium: Shane McAdam DBCA Wheatbelt: Steve Durell and Greg Durell (Regional Manager)	Request advice how to apply for a blanket Regulation 4 permit for access for all the different botanist consulting companies to a large variety of different Nature Reserves in the Wheatbelt region, in order to find rare flora	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submit the Regulation 4 application through the Wildlife Licensing branch in Perth It's not necessary to list all the species or all the reserves. State 'all reserves & UCL in Shire of Yilgarn' in the location section of the application Inform Wildlife Licencing that we have spoken to Wheatbelt Regional manager when submitting the application & to mark as 'urgent'. 	Regulation 4 application submitted	Regulation 4 granted
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	29/10/2019	Site Vist to Mt Holland with Marlinyu Ghoorlie	Covalent Lithium: Tim Gilbert Marlinyu Ghoorlie: Travis Tucker Marlinyu Ghoorlie: Charmaine Champion	Site Visit by members of the Marlinyu Ghoorlie (29/10/2019 - 30/10/2019)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Covalent escorted two members of the MG for a site visit Kalgoorlie to Mt Holland return ON at Mt Holland Provided a fits hands view of the site, overview of the operations, discussion ON flora and fauna, met other people at site Stayed overnight at camp and returned to Kalgoorlie 	Follow up meeting to be arranged for 11/12/2019	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	25/10/2019	Meeting (Kalgoorlie)	Covalent Lithium: Nicholas Vickery, Tim Gilbert Marlinyu Ghoorlie: The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group and their solicitor, Simon Blackshield.	Follow up meeting with the Marlinyu Ghoorlie to provide further information regarding the Mt Holland Lithium Project and the water pipeline and to present the initial draft Native Title Agreement terms sheet. Subsequent discussions were had with Mr Simon Blackshield, solicitor for the Marlinyu Ghoorlie group.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group remained generally supportive of the project and will consider Covalent's proposed Native Title Agreement terms sheet once it is sent. 	Covalent to provide draft Native Title Agreement terms sheet.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DoEE	9/10/2019	Teleconference	DoEE: Dionne Cassanell Strategen: Louise Whitely, Kane Moyle Covalent Lithium: Shane McAdam WesCEF: Trevor Naughton	DiscussED MPW works and potential overlap with DoEE approval.	<p>Dionne:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some of the works are covered under the federal proposal e.g. Accommodation village, WWTP Unlikely to be impacts that we care about however S74aa prohibits work commencing early No capacity to provide approval early works Variation required If elements proposed aren't the same as original application, then can proceed at own risk. Could put in a variation to excise early works provided no impact to MNE - safest optionVariation application what originally occurring v MPW, including new diagram showing development footprint 20 Business days normal to assess variation Is it a substantial change (i.e.. Consistent with original proposal, any impact to MNE) Sent to delegate (internal position) for final decision Can prioritise this over the larger proposal Should be able to turn around in <6 weeks (TN estimate) Focus on task of cleaning up the site and not pre-empting the mine site All work is temporary and can be rehabilitated if mine site not approved - same condition of the state 		Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DMIRS	27/09/2019	Meeting at DMIRS office	DMIRS: Rob Irwin Covalent Lithium: Cliff Bennison, Katherine Fox WesCEF: Trevor Naughton	Meeting to discuss exclusion zone, freshwater pipeline, topsoil storage	<p>Generally try to excise areas of significance if possible from the approval - e.g. flora exclusion zones. However MS doesn't require this and can work without excising</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Rob now believes can work around the flora exclusion zones · Cant approve Mining Proposal if conflict with EPA · Need to reference the mitigation measures captured in the management plan in the DMIRS approval o e.g. water carts, dust suppressant for dust control · Post approval can change management controls provided don't have an adverse impact. We wear the risk · Erosion pinch point where WRD sits around mallee fowl next. Landloch doing modelling at the moment but this will be looked at closely · Fauna exclusion zones - references no direct or indirect impacts. Rob advises we need to excise fauna exclusion zone from the DE o Seek amendments post approval o Inactive mounds have been included - Rob thought this strange but they are there regardless · EPA discussion - keep things moving, which drove the current conditions · 2-3m OK for topsoil stockpile height. Reference leading practice sustainability guideline for topsoil stockpiling - need to include commitments to this standard o Topsoil stockpile procedure to be referenced · Detailed design required for turkeys nests/evap dams. Same standards to be applied as for TSF. o e.g >1 ha o Could remove from initial application and address at later date o Staged applications is pretty common and acceptable o Quite common to get things up and running and change as we progress o OK if timelines for various elements have a differently term of life. Eg. WRD for 15 yrs. and pit dewatering for 5 yrs. § No problems 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER	26/09/2019	Meeting at DWER Office, Joondalup	Covalent Lithium: Cliff Bennison, David Richards, Alan Mulvaney, Norman Smith, Yasantha Eleperuma, Tim Gilbert, Paul Halstead Wescef: Trevor Naughton GHD: Mat Brook DJTSI: Steve Dawson DWER: Timothy Gentle, Louise Lavery	Meeting to discuss Works Approval pre-application requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 12 Mt/yr. of mining would be proposed · Other associated analytes associated with pegmatite could be an issue, but there is no evidence as yet for radioactive analytes · Various WAAs to be submitted by Covalent, which may be submitted in batches depending on when the approval is needed by · Key focus areas for DWER is the DS-TSF, dewatering and Critical Containment Facilities such as evaporation ponds · Covalent will be reusing as much of the already disturbed footprint as possible · Covalent may need to propose additional controls or monitoring such as DEM levels · DWER will be interested in the FMP which may need specific monitoring points – particularly interested in dust management and stormwater treatment · There are legacy impacts for the existing TSF · Risk based monitoring is needed based on sensitivities and environmental risk · DS-TSF discussions should start asap – 3rd party review by Mine Waste Consultants, compatibility assessments and Wave International · DWER are interested in the layout, capacity of facilities, stormwater management, bunding and containment facilities · Footprint can be moved about if needed · DWER confirmed that no Works Approval is needed for a concrete batching plant · Air monitoring input would be good upfront · Other DS-TSFs have had trouble with managing filter presses, but in some instances they can work · The commissioning period can be a couple of years – a commissioning plan needs to be submitted to DWER before construction has been completed · CCIRs are needed for TSFs, evap ponds, secondary containment ponds · Leaks of hypersaline water are critical 		Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	EPA Board	19/09/2019	Meeting at EPA Office, Joondalup	Covalent Lithium: Mark Fones, David Richards, Mauricio Olivares, Paul Halstead Strategen: Louise Whitley, Kane Moyle DJTSI: Steve Dawson EPASU: Robert Hughes, Helen Butterworth	Meeting to present the EGLP to the EPA Board and answer any questions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Covalent presented an outline of the Earl Grey Lithium Project Only one question was posed regarding permanent accommodation requirements, and the response was that about 250-300 persons would be housed onsite during operations on FIFO rosters 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	18/09/2019	Meeting at DMIRS offices in Perth	Covalent Lithium: Cliff Bennison, David Richards, Alan Mulvaney, Tim Gilbert Wescef: Trevor Naughton GHD: Mat Brook, Louise Cockerton DMIRS: Rob Irwin, Rosemarie De Bari DJTSI: Steve Dawson	Meeting to discuss Mining Proposal pre-application requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stage 1-3 of the mine pit would be over the first 3 years- Challenges identified with the separation of waste from ore Pit will be up to 280 m deep at the northern end- MPs and MCPs are assessed within 30 BDs for 80% Oxides generally found to 30 m, with underlying basalt Materials that are dispersive are planned to be encapsulated A standard spodumene circuit will be used- Quality of the spodumene will be the key driver, with focus on iron removal DS-TSF is slightly alkaline and low in metals DMIRS noted that leachate may contain tantalum, as well as thorium and other radioactive minerals – sampling needs to be sufficient over the various lithologies, and needs to be justified Final RL of the DS-TSF and the WRL will be similar to surrounding landforms Higher landforms are harder to stabilise, and a justification is needed for why designs are selected Power will be supplied by the grid and a 15 MW power station The aerodrome will be built to a 3C standard to meet CASA requirements Saline water is not to affect surrounding vegetation Risk is with the operator to ensure that there are no impacts- DMIRS would refer the MP and MCP to the EPA once they are satisfied with the contents There would be a 20 business day review period, with 10 business days being needed for DMA assessment and comments DJTSI will try to assist with streamlining turn around times- DMIRS has informal communication with DMAs to see if they want to comment Studies need to determine risks, which need mitigation actions taken and outcomes prescribed Detailed geotechnical designs are a must Detailed information with justifications are needed on ZOI assessments, permanent surface water diversions, design intensity to PMF, as well as internal and external TSF flood events DMIRS encourages early contact for any areas where there is uncertainty Third party reviews can help pick up issues with infrastructure such as evap ponds, ZOI, DS-TSF and WRLs – most important are the significant structures that are post-closure There are no GW dependent ecosystems An ephemeral water course runs west of the concentrator- 1:2000 usually used for PMF Material specs need to be understood for bunding NAF has been confirmed by MBS Neutral and saline leach tests will be needed Generally the topsoil has good structure and is non-dispersive- A sterilisation report will be needed for DMIRS It is optimal to backfill pits where possible DMIRS noted that main risks to manage were baseline information that needs to be in the correct format so that risks and management controls are clear, that there is sufficient quality in the risk assessments, and that completion and performance criteria is in accordance with guidance – the devil is in the detail DMIRS will also focus on landform design, with design to ALARP – Landloch slopes designed to be less than 17 degrees, with height to 45 m, single slope design, 6 m berms with competent rock cover – design specs changing during assessment will throw out approval timeframes – expansion applications should not be submitted straight after an approval 		Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Risk based approach means that the whole footprint needs to be risk assessed - A deeper pit could involve deeper lithologies - Push back from a proponent results in delays - DMIRS will be undertaking evidence based decision making - Proponents need to take into account the Dec/Jan holiday period - Revisions need to be submitted as tracked changes - Understanding the tenement conditions is the key- Submissions are assessed in order of receipt - Need to include a summary of anything different to previous MP – may need to structure the MP differently to avoid a massive change summary table - Rough drafts should not be submitted as there are no resources in DMIRS for review - Residual lithium is treated as an environmental pollutant, so Covalent will need to quantify it in the leachate tests - There is a NZ Standard for lithium – it is being discussed by regulators at present - No GW receptors and no beneficial uses or values - Store and release method proposed for DS-TSF 		
Mt Holland	DWER – Water Licencing	16/09/2019	Meeting at DWER offices in Victoria Park	<p>Covalent Lithium: Cliff Bennison, David Richards, Tim Botica, Alan Mulvaney Wescef: Trevor Naughton GHD: Mat Brook Kidman Resources: Dominique Stewart DWER: Kerrin Cox</p>	Meeting to discuss water licence requirements for EGLP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DWER is limited to approving things that have been approved by the EPA - The Kidman 630 ML water licence has been amended to 358 ML with an updated expiry date - Water licences were transferred straight to Covalent, however they need to be Kidman and SQM - A GWOS is needed - DWER will parallel process applications, and then await EPA approval if it has not already been given - Monitoring requirements need to be put into the GWOS - It was confirmed that no beneficial users of the groundwater have been identified, due in the main to the hyper salinity - Annual and triennial reporting is needed - GWOS needs to propose commitments in the draft - Need to define how the gold and lithium project interface with regards to water usage - Impacts need to be monitored - GWOS needs to address risks (level 1,2,3) for the multiple tenements and licences - Modelling will be needed if reinjection is proposed - Works Approval would be needed for dewatering - Best to apply for full entitlement – DWER would then approve 10 year licence - GWOS should address each licence separately, with focus on the draw points - A meeting with Jean Phillippe Pigois is needed to discuss studies needed 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	National Malleefowl Recovery Team	13/09/2019	Meeting – Covalent Lithium, Perth	<p>Covalent Lithium: Dave Richards, Nicole Harry, Shane McAdam, Briggita Longbottom National Malleefowl Recover Team: Liz Kington (WA Co-ordinator), Harriet Davie (NMRT volunteer) Ecoscape: Bruce Turner</p>	Discussion regarding survey requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NMRT recommend using their national database and standard protocols for data capture - The NMRT Cybertracker system can be downloaded for use, and is updated regularly - Users of the program should be trained in standardised monitoring techniques - NMRT will assist with survey and assist with training survey personnel - Ecoscape will also manage the data using Collector 123 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	1/08/2019	Meeting at DMIRS offices in Perth	<p>Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, David Richards Blueprint: Siobhan Pelliccia Kidman Resources: Dominique Stewart DMIRS: Rob Irwin , Cassie Reynolds, Caitlin Williamson</p>	Meeting to discuss DMIRS reporting requirements for Covalent and Kidman EGSs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mining Proposal notes domains – reporting will need to be against domains for EGSs - MRF liability needs to be checked - Kidman tenements need to be reported on by Kidman – Covalent to supply information - OSR stamp duty assessment takes a year and a half - Need to determine whether Kidman has a PoW to use the roads or has it implemented the Blue Vein Mining Proposal - Need to determine where roads are existing and Kidman is the tenement holder, whether that means that there are rights to use the existing roads as purchased disturbance, or whether that triggers MRF reporting 		Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DMIRS confirmed that there is no need to report on unused abandoned land, except for some parts of miscellaneous licences and the airstrip, as well as the camp footprint and access roads - AER is due in Oct - PoW disturbance needs to be reported - AER and MRF data should be the same for the same reporting period - AER reporting is completed via EGS - Water licences may need owners changed - There will be two separate AERs submitted online - Kidman still looking for DMRIS approval of airport on 107/206 - MPW MP and MCP should be approved within about a month - DMIRS recommended one flyover to capture data for MRF, then split disturbance across the two AERs - DMIRS will look at the tenement package and attribute any old EGS AER to the new EGSs - AER is to report all clearing and utilisation or areas - DMIRS recommended checking for radionucleotides in tailings - Need to send a letter for each tenement with AER reporting requirement to request reporting at end of financial year - Bluevein MCP needs to be updated after the submission of the AER - Any changed tenements from M to G will need explanation, and need to ensure that no non-G activities are undertaken 		
Mt Holland	Marlinyu Ghoorlie	18/07/2019	Meeting (Kalgoorlie)	Covalent Lithium: Nicholas Vickery Marlinyu Ghoorlie: The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group and their solicitor, Simon Blackshield.	Initial meeting with the Marlinyu Ghoorlie to provide information regarding the Mt Holland Lithium Project, including the proposed water pipeline, and to commence discussions regarding the negotiation of a Native Title Agreement. Subsequent discussions were had with Mr Simon Blackshield, solicitor for the Marlinyu Ghoorlie group.	- The Marlinyu Ghoorlie future acts group was generally supportive of the project, subject to coming to an agreement regarding the terms of a Native Title Agreement.	Covalent to provide more information on the project and the parties to start negotiating a native title agreement.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	17/07/2019	Meeting at Shire of Yilgarn Office, Southern Cross	Covalent Lithium: Allan Mulvaney, David Richards, Ted Lambourne, Susanna Beech Shire of Yilgarn: Peter Clarke (CEO), Robert Bosenberg (Operations Manager), Nic Watson (Executive Approvals Manager)	Meeting to provide Shire of Yilgarn with an update on the Water Pipeline with respect to preferred routes and Emu Fence Road not being a gazetted road with no associated road reserve.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - URBIS completed options analysis of constraints - Option 5 and Emu Fence Road (EFR) options preferred - Covalent has engaged with the Department of Lands Planning and Infrastructure who advised that the road reserve located adjacent to the EFR alignment relates to the State Barrier Fence and is not the road reserve for EFR and consequently EFR is not a gazette road. Tenure would be required to construction a pipeline with EFR and this would trigger the future act provisions of the Native Title Act. - Option 5, that is not a constructed road has a road reserve associated with it and is the best option from a tenure and native title perspective, as native title is extinguished within the road reserve area. There is an short distance where the tenure occurs outside of the alignment. An application for tenure at this location would be required and would trigger the future act provisions of the Native Title Act, however an agreement is likely to be less complex due to the short distance / area of the tenure application. Susanna noted that written clarification of the above advice from DPLH has been requested. The Shire has been provided with a copy of this written request. - Covalent is considering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o What tenure would be most appropriate. This depends on the alignment. Where there is existing tenure (i.e. road reserve) Native Title has been extinguished and therefore a pipeline easement can be applied for over the existing tenure providing the underlying tenure holder is in agreement. Where no tenure exists Native Title has not been extinguished and consequently negotiations with Native Title Claimants would be required and compensation is likely to be payable. o Applying for a General-Purpose Lease under the Mining Act over the EFT alignment. An Application for a GPL does not give rise to the future act provisions of the Native Title Act and only requires demonstration of consultation in good faith with Native Title Claimants. This option would require agreement with underlying tenure holders (including mining tenements). - Option 5 will require 40 ha of clearing of native vegetation that is likely to be referred to the EPA under s.38 of the EP Act as a part of the Earl Grey Lithium Project. Flora surveys will be required during spring. - Alan Mulvaney also advised that the Marvel Loch route may be an alternative if the pipeline could be upgraded (Water Corp). This option has 	Once a final route has been agreed for the water pipeline a further meeting with the Shire of Iligan will be scheduled. COV to ask legal advice regarding comp. acquisition process (only available to State Government). COV asked to consider assisting the Shire to obtain a road easement over the EFR. SoY will meet up with Rita Safioti (DoT) and will discuss the road easement alignment issues. Susanna will follow up DPLH with respect to written advice previously requested.	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
						<p>not been studied from a tenure, native title or environmental perspective and further investigation is required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Susanna enquired with the Shire whether they are aware of the compulsory acquisition process. Susanna advised that this may be an option for obtaining a road reserve over the existing EFR. The significance of the road on a regional basis may allow this process. Susanna advised that legal advice would be required, however she understands that the provisions of the Native Title Act still exist under the compulsory acquisition process and that this burden would likely be required to be met by the Shire. Susanna advised that dedicated pipeline tenure is required either under the Planning Act or the Mining Act. The Shire advised that Ramelius Resources is going through a similar process where a portion of another local road (Bullfinch Road) does not have an associated road reserve. Ramelius is assisting the Shire to apply for a road reserves over the existing alignment and is seeking advice from DPLH with respect to this process. Ramelius paid about \$50k for surveying of the section of the alignment over which a road reserve is required. They are working with DPLH with respect to surveying requirements. The Shire believes that Ramelius is dealing with the Balladong Native Title Group. At this time Covalent considers that the EFR alignment is possibly the least constrained from an Environmental and Native Title perspective if Mining Act tenure is obtained. Consent Agreements will need to be signed with approximately a dozen tenure holders. Covalent does however need to investigate the Marvel Loch alignment from a tenure, environmental and native title perspective. Further investigations with respect to Dunbar Road are also required. Shire will monitor Bullfinch outcomes and keep Covalent updated with respect to the process. The Minister for Planning, Hon. Rita Saffioti will be visiting Southern Cross next week and Peter Clarke will discuss the issue of historical road easements aligning with existing roads. He will seek the Minister's assistance with respect to current issues in the Shire of Yilgarn, in particular with respect to funding compulsory acquisition. It was discussed that it is worth mentioning to Rita Saffioti that the Earl Grey Lithium Project is a State Significant Project. Covalent will now be presenting the preferred alignment to the Shire in Aug/Sept. 		
Mt Holland	DMIRS	21/06/2019	Phone call	Covalent Lithium: David Richards DMIRS: Brad Jakowyna, Rob Irwin MBS Environmental: Kristy Sell	Telephone conversation to discuss updates required to the MPW MP and MCP prior to resubmission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DMIRS advised that the revised closure outcomes listed in the memo to DMIRS were still inconsistent with those commitments from the previous historic approved MCP Reg ID 53033 for the Mt Holland Gold Project (ESG S0230854), even though the proposed activities were different. The MP and MCP to be resubmitted will be for ESG S0237539 as per DMIRS instructions on 10/6/19 in an email from Rob. Updates to the MP and MCP for the Mt Holland Gold project will be separate from the Earl Grey submission, noting the recommendation from DMIRS on 10/6/19 that these should be consistent with those of the Earl Grey Lithium Project. 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – Environmental Protection Authority Service Unit (EPASU)	13/06/2019	Meeting DWER Joondalup	DWER – EPASU: Nyomi Bowers, Robert Hughes Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech Strategen Environmental Consultants: Louise Whiteley	Meeting to discuss next steps in the assessment process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DWER outlined that they had reviewed the timeline for assessment and in order to meet the July EPA Meeting Covalent would need to resubmit the RtS and Offset Strategy. DWER advised that the information required for the RtS to be accepted are locations for Microcorys Offsets and a clearer explanation on the calculation of indirect impacts to Microcorys. Covalent indicated that it may not be able to meet the deadline of 19th June for resubmission of RtS and Offset Strategy as it needs to undertake further survey work to identify Microcorys outside the DA. 	Covalent to advise Nyomi if it can meet the 19 th June for resubmission of the RtS and Offset Strategy.	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DMIRS & DWER - EPASU	10/06/2019	Meeting DJTSL Office	<p>DJTSL: Steve Dawson, Christine Gibney DWER – EPA Services: Nyomi Bowers, Robert Hughes Kidman Resources: Chris Williams, Dominique Stewart, Tom Wilcox, Michael Green Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, David Richards DMIRS: Brad Jakowyna, Rob Irwin, Daniel Endacott, Caitlin Williams Blueprint Consulting: Siobhan Pelliccia Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske, Dave Angus</p>	Meeting to discuss historical gold MPs and Minor or Preliminary works MP and MCP.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -DMIRS advised that two EGS codes can be created for the gold and lithium projects. - DMIRS advised that the Closure Plan for the MPW must align with the MP for the historical mining proposals. - DMIRS advised that there is no legal way to remove or amend an approved Mining Proposal. - Consequently, for two mining proposals to co-exist the Mine Closure Plans must align. 	DMIRS confirmed the process for the submission and approval of the MPW MP and MCP.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS & DWER - EPASU	5/06/2019	Meeting DJTSL Office	<p>DJTSL: Steve Dawson, Christine Gibney DWER – EPA Services: Nyomi Bowers / Robert Hughes Kidman Resources: Chris Williams, Dominique Stewart, Tom Wilcox, Michael Green Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, David Richards DMIRS: Brad Jakowyna, Rob Irwin, Daniel Endacott, Caitlin Williams Blueprint Consulting: Siobhan Pelliccia Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske, Dave Angus</p>	Meeting to discuss historical gold MPs and Minor or Preliminary works MP and MCP.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DMIRS advised that two EGS codes can be created for the gold and lithium projects. - DMIRS advised that the Closure Plan for the MPW must align with the MP for the historical mining proposals. - DMIRS advised that there is no legal way to remove or amend an approved Mining Proposal. - Consequently, for two mining proposals to co-exist the Mine Closure Plans must align. 	DMIRS confirmed the process for the submission and approval of the MPW MP and MCP.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS & DWER - EPASU	31/05/2019	Meeting DJTSL Office	<p>DJTSL: Steve Dawson, Christine Gibney DWER - EPA Services: Nyomi Bowers / Robert Hughes Kidman Resources: Chris Williams, Dominique Stewart, Tom Wilcox, Michael Green Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, David Richards DMIRS: Brad Jakowyna, Rob Irwin, Daniel Endacott, Caitlin Williams Blueprint Consulting: Siobhan Pelliccia Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske, Dave Angus</p>	Meeting to discuss impact of Kidman Resources on Earl Grey Lithium Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DWER EPA Services advised that Kidman should not submit any more Programs of Work as they must be taken into consideration by Covalent in the regional impacts to Banksia and Microcorys. - Discussion regarding avoidance of Banksia and Microcorys. 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	28/05/2019	Phone call	<p>DMIRS: Rob Irwin Covalent Lithium: David Richards, Susanna Beech</p>	Telephone conversation to discuss strategy for separate EGS Codes for historical gold mining proposals and minor or preliminary works.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consistency in Mining Proposals is required, closure objectives. 	Covalent to outline agreed strategy in writing. DMIRS to confirm	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DBCA, & DWER - EPASU	19/05/2019	Meeting DJTSL Offices	DJTSL: Steve Dawson Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech Strategen: Louise Whiteley, Robyn Chesney DBCA: Nichols Woolfrey, Lindsay Bourke	Meeting to discuss content of Offset Strategy, DBCA and DWER comments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DBCA advised that in its view what would be valuable from an Offsets perspective is further survey work to identify the distribution of Microcorys in the local and regional area and once this is established that an Offset could be considered. DWER advised that the EPA will take a conservative view of Microcorys given that it is only known in the Mt Holland area. Consequently, EPA will want to see a direct offset for Microcorys. It was discussed and agreed that the Offset Strategy for Microcorys should include further regional surveys and surveys within the DA as well as a contingency several Offset options. It was also discussed that rehabilitation of historical drill lines within Jilbadji National Park would also be considered of benefit to the species given that it has been located within Jilbadji National Park. Covalent presented the survey program for week beginning 24/06/2019 to DBCA and DWER outlining the target areas and whether these areas would be of interest to DBCA given their proximity to conservation areas. DBCA advised that in this case the key criteria would be the presence of Microcorys, rather than more general biodiversity values. The values within the Offset would need to be equal to or greater than the values within the disturbance area. Covalent raised the possibility that an area of freehold land containing Microcorys may not exist, given the likelihood that Microcorys may be associated with the PEC and that there is only one block of freehold land within the PEC area. DBCA and DWER advised that some flexibility may be required with respect to offsets in this case. DWER advised of the difficulty with obtaining DoEE's agreement to non-direct offsets. Covalent raised the potential for the populations within the southern section of the DA being set aside as an offset area and raise the possibility of Microcorys populations located within unallocated crown land being able to be secured in the conservation estate. DBCA and DWER concurred that it would be difficult to obtain whole of government approval to set aside unallocated crown land in an area of significant mineralisation within the Conservation Estate, however if it were identified that Microcorys is restricted to Mt Holland then this may be possible. 	Covalent will incorporate DBCA and DWER's advice into the Offset Strategy.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	16/05/2019	Phone call	DWER - EPASU: Nyomi Bowers Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech	Telephone conversation to discuss assessment of RtS timeline.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DWER - EPA Services will keep Covalent updated with respect to RtS comments. 		Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	7/05/2019	Meeting – Shire of Yilgarn Offices	Shire of Yilgarn: Peter Clarke, Nic Warren, Robert Bosenberg Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, Alan Mulvaney, John Chappell, Xavier Coetzee	Met with Shire of Yilgarn in relation to the proposed water pipeline to mine site. Discussed preferred route of Emu Fence Road. Discussed locating pipeline underground within the Emu Fence Road Discussed approval process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shire advised that it is not opposed to the proposal Shire advised that a proposal would need to be submitted for presentation and approval at Shire meeting An Agreement would need to be entered with respect to compensation etc. 	Covalent to submit a proposal to Shire in early July 2019. Shire will present at Council Meeting in mid July 2019.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	6/05/2019	Meeting - DMIRS	DMIRS: Rob Irwin, Brad Jakowyna, Daniel Endacott, Rosemarie De Barrie Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, David Richards MBS Environmental: Jonathon Barker, Stephanie Stack	Meeting to discuss comments on MPW MP and MCP Discussed separate EGS Codes Discussed inconsistencies in information provided between this and other projects Discussed closure objectives and criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DMIRS raised issue of historical Gold Mining Proposals and the interaction between these and the Covalent Lithium proposals, in the context of a letter from Kidman Resources outlining that the gold and lithium projects would co-exist under a single EGS Code. DMIRS advised that the closure objectives and criteria were not definitive enough and did not meet guideline requirements. 	Covalent to schedule another meeting with DMIRS and Kidman Resources	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DBCA	4/04/19	Meeting – DBCA Office Kensington	DWER - EPASU: Nyomi Bowers, Robert Hughes DBCA: Lindsay Bourke, Nicholas Woolfrey, Bec Ryan DoEE: Dionne Cassanell (by telephone) Strategen Environmental Consultants: Louise Whitely Mattikse Consulting: Dave Angus Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech	DBCA public submission on the ERD, specifically regarding the following items: Flora Comment 2 General – Covalent agrees with recommendations made by DBCA that clearly defined limits to impacts of conservation significant flora species and their habitat is made a condition of approval. However, Covalent requests that the limit is based on a percentage of the known local population and would like to discuss the challenges with applying absolute numbers. Comment 2 General - The work by Raiter et al. relates to Linear infrastructure impacts on flora. As the project will predominately utilise existing corridors for Linear infrastructure, limited additional impacts will occur. Is there additional recent work relating to mining development impacts on flora populations DBCA area referring to? Comment 3 Threatened Flora Banksia sphaerocarpa var. dolichostyla - Further efforts to understand, avoid, minimise, manage and mitigate (including offset, if the reduced impacts are considered acceptable) is required. Covalent would like to discuss further the five points DBCA considered necessary to assist in understanding the risk associated with impacts and practicality for this assessment. Comment 5 Priority Flora Microcorys sp. Mt Holland - Further efforts to understand, avoid, minimise, manage and mitigate (including offset, if the reduced impacts are considered acceptable) is required. Covalent would like to discuss further measures DBCA would consider appropriate to reduce risks and residual impacts on the species. Comment 7. Monitoring - Covalent seeks further clarification from DBCA around the level of detail required for the monitoring programs at this early stage of the project. A more detailed monitoring program plan will be possible once baseline monitoring has occurred. Comment 8 Flora Management Plan – DBCA has raised concern with the use of percentage impact, rather than number of plants. Given the level of impacts on species populations are usually measured and assessed as a percentage of the total population, is it not appropriate to base triggers and thresholds on percentages also? Fauna Comment 10 Threatened Fauna – Covalent seeks further clarification on the statement: 'The link between objectives, criteria (trigger and threshold), response actions and monitoring could be improved' regarding Threatened fauna. Offsets General discussion around the proposed land acquisition for fauna offsets and next steps to progress acquisition.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was agreed that the threshold for impact to conservation significant flora species be based on a percentage of the population within the development envelope. Direct offset for banksia is required. Evidence to support translocation, germination trials would be required for this to be accepted as a viable offset. A direct offset for Microcorys is required. Covalent need to identify where populations of Microcorys occurs outside of the development envelope in areas that are viable for offsetting (e.g. freehold land). Changes to the calculation of indirect impact to microcorys is required as DBCA is unable to accept to use of percentages to determine indirect impact. DBCA and DWER are generally happy with the proposed fauna offset area – further work is required to determine if the value is equal to or greater than the area proposed to be disturbed. 	Covalent to resubmit RtS and update management plans based on the advice and clarification received during the meeting.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	4/04/2019	Meeting – DWER Office	DWER - EPASU: Nyomi Bowers, Robert Hughes DJTSI: Steve Dawson Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, Colyn Louw	DWER advice with respect to RtS, and the next stage in the assessment process including revised timelines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarification on DWER advice with respect to calculation of impacts and offsets. Covalent to advise when it will be able to resubmit RtS. 	DWER will send a revised assessment timeline.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DoEE	13/03/2019	Phone call	DoEE: Dionne Cassanell	Discussion with Dionne with respect to DoEE comments on ERD, Threatened Species Management Plans and Offset Strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dionne provided specific advice with respect to the calculation of offsets for Threatened Species Dionne also provided advise with respect to direct and indirect offsets 	Covalent to adopt Dionne's advice as a part of its amendment to the ERD	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	13/03/2019	Teleconference	DMIRS: Rosemarie De Barrie MBS Consulting: Jonathan Barker	Discussion regarding submission of MPW MP and MCP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rosemarie provided advice with respect to submission of MP and MCP for MPW, with respect to separate ESG codes Historical gold mining proposals were not discussed or identified as an issue. 	Rosemarie advised that separate ESG Codes would be the likely outcome	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Malleefowl Recovery Team	28/02/2019	Meeting – Covalent Lithium, Perth	Malleefowl Recovery Team: Liz Kington (WA Co-ordinator)	Discussion with respect to the content of the ERD, with respect to impacts on Malleefowl. Discussed proposed impacts, mitigation and management measures. Discussed breeding season and survey methods.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Liz will be submitting comments on the ERD, with respect to impacts on Mallee Fowl 	Liz will be making recommendations relating to that disturbance to inactive Malleefowl mounds occur outside of breeding season and survey methods for identification of mounds.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DBCA	21/02/2019	Phone call	DBCA: Sandra Thomas	Provided outline of status of EIA approval process – submission of ERD. Advised that DWER – EPA Services would be sending the Threatened Species Management Plans to DBCA for review. Discussion regarding DBCA's review of Threatened Species Management Plans.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sandra advised that she allocate resources to the review of the plans once received from DWER – EPA services 	Covalent will schedule a meeting with DBCA once comments on Management Plans received.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	4/02/2019	Meeting - EPA	Covalent Lithium: Mark Fones (CEO), Colyn Louw (GM Org Development), Susanna Beach (Manager EAC) EPA Services: Anthony Sutton, Robert Hughes, Nyomi Bowers	Update on the Earl Grey Lithium Project Progression of the assessment of the ERD EPA's consent to the Minor or Preliminary Works application.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process for MPW application and consent Discussion on ERD comments 	EPA will review MPW following public review of ERD	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	28/01/2019	Submission	Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech EPA Services: Nyomi Bowers	Submission of Application for EPA Consent to Minor or Preliminary Works			
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	21/01/2019	Submission	Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech EPA Services: Nyomi Bowers	Resubmission of ERD	· Comments addressed within updated ERD	Nyomi Bowers to provide updated timeframe for review of ERD and public review of ERD	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DJTSI	14/01/2019	Meeting – Covalent Lithium, Perth	DJTSI: Steve Dawson, Marzia Zamir Covalent Lithium: Geoff Sheppard, Colyn Louw, Susanna Beech	Project update Approvals update – ERD comments	· Discussed ERD comments – impacts to flora and fauna and offsets strategy	DJTSI available to assist with discussions with regulators regarding approval requirements and timelines	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DoEE	10/01/2019	Phone Call	DoEE: Dionne Cassanell	Discussion regarding comments on ERD Discussion with respect to impacts to fauna and flora and offsets strategy	· Covalent to address comments and resubmit ERD	ERD must consider all impacts and include a robust Offset Strategy	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	8/01/2019	Meeting DWER EPA Services	DWER EPA Services: Nyomi Bowers, Robert Hughes, Hans Jacob, Helena Mills Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, Colyn Louw Strategen Environmental Consultants: Louise Whiteley, Kane Moyle	Meeting to discuss the comments provided on the revised ERD (required work to be completed prior to release of ERD for public review). Discussed comments and surveys required Discussed timeline for EPA services assessment and public review of ERD	· Covalent to address comments and resubmit ERD	ERD must consider all impacts and include a robust Offset Strategy	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER	21/12/2018	Meeting – DWER Ellam Street	DWER: Tania Liaghati	Provided an outline of the project Discussed groundwater abstraction licence application amendments currently being assessed and future applications Discussed strategy with respect to groundwater abstraction	· DWER advised that consultation prior to submission of 26D and 5C licence applications. · Groundwater operating strategy required	Commitment to ongoing consultation prior to submission of applications	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	18/12/2018	Meeting - DMIRS	DMIRS: Laura Copeland, Rosemarie De Barrie	Provided an overview of the proposed project including mine including construction of project within historical Mt Holland Gold Mine site and use of existing disturbance and existing infrastructure such as TSF Outline baseline studies including materials characterization and geochemical assessments DMIRS provided an outline of Mining Proposal timeline Discussion regarding Minor or Preliminary Works and Major Project Mining Proposals	· DMIRS recommended ongoing and early consultation	Commitment to ongoing consultation	Acceptable
Mt Holland / Refinery	DWER	17/12/2018	Meeting - DWER	DWER: Danielle Eyre; Ana Mesquita; Louise Lavery; Timothy Gentle	Provided an overview of the proposed project including mine and refinery projects. Provided an outline of prescribed premises DWER provided an outlined of expected timelines – 120 days Discussion lessons learnt from other lithium projects	· DWER advised that early and ongoing consultation recommended	Commitment to ongoing consultation	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Non-Government Organisations (NGOs) – multiple stakeholders	13/12/2018	Workshop – Covalent Lithium, Perth	Western Wildlife: Jen Wilcox Mattiske Consulting: Libby Mattiske, David Angus Wilderness Society: Kit Sainsbury Strategen Environmental Consultants: Kane Moyle, Tristan Sleigh, Louise Whitely Great Western Woodlands: Peter Price Covalent Lithium: Colyn Louw Wildflower Society: Brian Moyle	Workshop prior to public advertising of Environmental Review Document. Western Wildlife, Mattiske and Strategen delivered presentations that provided an outline of the proposed lithium mining project, baseline studies, environmental values, project impacts, management and mitigation measure, offsets etc. Discussions regarding impacts, mitigation measures and offsets.	· Recommendations by NGO's to minimize impact as much as possible and to continue to engage and consult with them in relation to mitigation and management measures.	NGO's will review ERD and provide public comments	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DoEE	28/11/2018	Phone Call	DoEE: Dionne Cassanell Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech	Discussion of a review conducted on the Offsets section in the ERD.		Amendments to Offsets in Environmental Review Document (Revision 5)	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Office of Honourable Minister Stephen Dawson MLC Minister for Environment and Disability Services	28/11/2018	Meeting	Minister's Office: Darren Forster Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, Mark Fones Cannings Purple Strategic Communications: Michael Cairnduff	Discussion of the Proposal and status of approvals.	· Recommendations for key stakeholders were provided.	Engagement will continue	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	14/11/2018	Meeting Memorandum	DWER - EPASU: Robert Hughes, Nyomi Bowers Covalent Lithium: Susanna Beech, Colyn Louw Strategen: Matthew Jones	Discussion of additional targeted significant flora survey results. Timing of resubmission of the updated ERD.		Additional targeted flora surveys completed and included in Environmental Review Document (Revision 5)	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DMIRS	19/10/2018	Email	DMIRS: Brad Jakowyna, Ryan Hepworth, Daniel Endacott Strategen: Louise Whitley Covalent Lithium: Nicolas Vickery	Clarification of approval requirements for camp infrastructure laydown area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No objection to temporarily storing infrastructure at laydown areas covered by approved Mining Proposals Strongly recommended to confirm with EPA if an approval to undertake minor or preliminary works is required 	Acknowledgment of activities which can occur under approved Mining Proposal and confirmation that EPA engagement will occur	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	19/10/2018	Meeting	DWER - EPASU: Nyomi Bowers Covalent Lithium: Colyn Louw Strategen: Matthew Jones Mattiske: David Angus	Review of DMA comment regarding targeted surveys for significant flora. Field survey methods for proposed additional targeted flora surveys.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Covalent to conduct additional targeted surveys in November 2018 for significant flora. Results to be incorporated into the updated ERD 	Additional targeted flora surveys completed and included in Environmental Review Document (Revision 5)	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	10/10/2018	Meeting	DWER - EPASU: Robert Hughes, Nyomi Bowers, Bec Ryan Covalent Lithium: Jan de Jage Strategen: Kane Moyle, Tristan Sleigh, Matthew Jones	Discussion on DMA comments. Adequacy of flora surveys for significant species Statistical Comparison of Vegetation within the Earl Grey Lithium Project with the Ironcap Hills Vegetation Complex. Environmental offsets and consideration in the ERD.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Covalent to consider additional targeted surveys for significant flora. 	Additional targeted flora surveys completed and included in Environmental Review Document (Revision 5)	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	5/10/2018	Meeting	DMIRS: Karen Caple, Mike Wilde, Dan Endacott Covalent Lithium: Colyn Louw, Nicholas Vickery Strategen: Kane Moyle	Timing for the Mining Proposal submission. Minor and Preliminary Works. Interfaces with the EPA approvals.		Mining Proposal to be submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	18/09/2018	Meeting	DWER - EPASU: Anthony Sutton, Nyomi Bowers, Robert Hughes Covalent Lithium: David English, Colyn Louw Strategen: Kane Moyle	Update of proposed project timelines and next steps. Anticipated receipt of DMA comments. Potential Minor and Preliminary Works application.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DMA comments to be received in October 2018. Covalent to send updated approvals schedule to EPA. 	Environmental Review Document re-submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Department of the Environment and Energy (DoEE)	10/05/2018	Meeting in Canberra	DoEE: Dionne Cassanell (Senior Assessment Officer, Project Assessments West Section), Rod Whyte (Director, Project Assessments West Section) Covalent Lithium: David English Kidman: Chris Williams Strategen: Matthew Jones	Notification of formalisation of joint venture and joint venture management entity, WA Lithium (now known as Covalent Lithium Pty Ltd.). Discussion of proposed changes to site layout. Discussion of outcomes of environmental work and recent surveys. Discussion of anticipated residual impacts and potential offsets for MNES. Discussion of proposed timeline and next steps.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Offsets need to be considered. Proposals for offsets need to be consistent and provide certainty for both parties. 	Offsets included in Environmental Review Document	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	29/03/2018	Meeting	DWER - EPASU: Robert Hughes, Nyomi Bowers Covalent Lithium: David English Kidman: Chris Williams Strategen: Kane Moyle	Notification of formalisation of joint venture and joint venture management entity, WA Lithium (now known as Covalent Lithium Pty Ltd.). Discussion of proposed changes to site layout. Discussion of outcomes of environmental work and proposed surveys. Discussion of anticipated residual impacts. Discussion of proposed timeline and next steps.	Section 43a submission required	Section 43a applications submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Board Meeting	14/12/2017	EPA Board Meeting Presentation	EPA Board DWER - EPASU Kidman: Chris Williams SQM: Nicolas Velar Strategen: Mat Brook	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV. Discussion of outcomes of environmental surveys.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion regarding project timing and Draft Environmental Scoping Document. 	Environmental Review Document submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Mt Holland Multi-Agency Site Visit	7/12/2017	Site Visit	DWER - EPASU: Robert Hughes. DMIRS: Ryan Hepworth DWER: Tim Gentle, Louise Lavery Kidman: Chris Williams DDJTSI: Steve Cosgrove, Steve Dawson SQM: Nicolas Velar, Mark Fones Strategen: Mat Brook, Matthew Jones	Site inspection and discussion of project, outcomes of environmental surveys.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Offsets need to be considered. Management plans need to be outcome focused. 	Environmental Review Document submitted with offsets and management plans	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Coolgardie	24/11/2017	Meeting	Shire of Coolgardie: James Trail (CEO), Jill O'Brien (Deputy CEO) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shire enquired about workforce needs and characteristics of the resource. 	Engagement will continue	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Goldfields Esperance Development Commission (GEDC)	24/11/2017	Meeting	GEDC: Shayne Flanagan (CEO) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided information on GEDC's role and advocacy role. Provided information on ports, waste management, and workforce. 	Engagement will continue	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DMIRS	24/11/2017	Meeting	DMIRS: David Smith (Director General), Phil Gorey (Acting Deputy Director General) Strategen: Mat Brook Kidman: Chris Williams	Discussion of stakeholder engagement conducted by Kidman with local shires and other local agency stakeholders. Discussion of SQM operations and role of SQM in the Project. Update on approvals timeframes Mine closure plan requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enquiries regarding trucking and shipping of material, waste and existing liabilities. Discussion of market for lithium and regional outlook. 	Engagement will continue and Mining Proposal will include details on material movement, waste and existing liabilities.	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	23/11/2017	Meeting	Shire of Yilgarn: Onida Truran (Shire President), Ray Hooper (Acting CEO), Robert Bosenberg (Executive Manager Infrastructure), Nic Warren (Manager Regulatory Services) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV. Council briefing and community meeting could be held around the time the ERD is released for public comment, or beforehand. Kidman to follow up in early 2018.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shire suggested Kidman hold briefing to full Council and a public meeting to introduce the project to the community. Also suggested a Fact Sheet could go into the local paper that is produced from the local resource centre. Shire stressed importance of understanding Kidman's schedule for road upgrades. Shire does not want to upgrade roads until Kidman is ready to use them. Shire enquired about water supply needs and food service standards/registration. 	Engagement will continue and community consultation strategy to be developed	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Port of Esperance	22/11/2017	Meeting	Port of Esperance: Alan Byers, (General Manager Ports), Scott Bates, Katie Williams. Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV. Discussion of Port license, transport routes, on-site storage and handling.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided information on DWER licence renewal. Provided information on truck routing, loading options, and storage capacity on site. Asked about port needs under future operational scenarios. 	Engagement will continue	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Esperance	22/11/2017	Meeting	Shire of Esperance: Victoria Brown (Mayor), Natalie Bowman, (Deputy Mayor), Matthew Scott (CEO), Matthew Walker (Director Asset Management), Shane Burge (Director Corporate Resources) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested information on use of port and potentially project characteristics related to use of port. Identified past issues and concerns regarding lead. Provided information on local workforce. 	Engagement will continue	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Kondinin	22/11/2017	Meeting	Shire of Kondinin: John Read (CEO), Alan George (Deputy CEO), Mark Burgess (Manager of Works), Sue Meeeking (Shire President), Allen Smoker (Councillor) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shire asked about the nature of the resource and the power and workforce requirements of the mine. Shire would like Kidman to engage the works department of Council to do upkeep and maintenance of roads. Shire would like the opportunity to provide services for the road to keep it safe and well maintained. 	Engagement will continue and agreement on roads to be reached	Acceptable
Mt Holland	City of Kalgoorlie-Boulder	21/11/2017	Meeting	City of Kalgoorlie-Boulder: John Walker (Chief Executive Officer), John Bowler (Mayor), Alex Wiese (Executive Manager Economy and Growth). Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV. Discussion of Mungari SIA and other locations in Kalgoorlie and accessibility of utilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested information about tailings and waste characteristics. 	Tailings and waste characteristics to be provided as part of engagement regarding Refinery location	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Kalgoorlie Chamber of Minerals and Energy (CME)	21/11/2017	Meeting	Kalgoorlie CME: Rowena Olsen (Manager Eastern Region) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV. Understanding of labour market.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion regarding workforce characteristics and current market conditions. 	Engagement will continue	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Kalgoorlie-Boulder Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KBCCI)	21/11/2017	Meeting	KBCCI: Simone De Been (CEO) Cannings Purple: Charlie Wilson-Clark Kidman: Chris Williams	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV. Understanding of labour market.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion regarding workforce characteristics and current market conditions. 	Engagement will continue	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER - EPASU & EPA Board	15/11/2017	Meeting	EPA Board: Tom Hatton EPA Services: Anthony Sutton, Robert Hughes, Nyomi Bowers Kidman: Chris Williams SQM: Nicolas Velar, Ignacio Torrejon Strategen: Darren Walsh, Mat Brook	Provided overview of the Mt Holland project and introduced Kidman, SQM and the JV.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion regarding project timing and Draft Environmental Scoping Document. 	Referral submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS – MRF Branch	14/09/2017	Meeting	DMIRS: Damian Montague (Acting Manager Abandoned Mines Program), Ryan Hepworth. Kidman: Chris Williams Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia	Overview of Project abandoned site status and proposed operations. Understanding of liabilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DMIRS to seek advice on application of the MRF to areas that will be utilised by Kidman where Kidman is rehabilitating liability landforms (such as the TSFs), how will MRF apply. Kidman to prepare a memorandum that provides an overview of the proposed operation with respect to abandoned infrastructure and set out queries regarding assumed liabilities and application of the MRF, for DMIRS to follow up on. 	DMIRS advice on MRF and Kidman liability is considered appropriate and MRF liabilities to be clarified as part of Mining Proposal	Acceptable
Mt Holland	National Mallee fowl Recovery Team	12/09/2017	Meeting	National Malleefowl Recovery Team: Dr Elizabeth Kington (Project Officer, WA) Kidman: Chris Williams Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia Integrate Sustainability: Belinda Bastow (Environmental Advisor)	Overview of project presented, focusing on proposed operations, environmental setting, baseline study results, presence of Chuditch, Mallee fowl and vulnerable flora, opportunities for rehabilitation of abandoned mine site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mound data being incorporated into the national data. Project adopting the national mound monitoring protocol. Joining the national mound monitoring network. Approach adopted for remotely identifying mounds. Project participating in the national adaptive management/predator control study. 	Ongoing engagement will occur	Acceptable

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	Greening Australia	12/09/2017	Meeting	Greening Australia (GA): Dr Blair Parsons (Director of Conservation – WA/NT), David Timmel (Business Development Manager). Kidman: Chris Williams Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia Integrate Sustainability: Belinda Bastow (Environmental Advisor)	Overview of project presented, focusing on proposed operations, environmental setting, baseline study results, presence of Chuditch, Mallee fowl and vulnerable flora, opportunities for rehabilitation of abandoned mine site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities for traditional owner or aboriginal in the project. Proximity to the Jilbadji Nature Reserve. Intensity of the Malleefowl surveys. Potential opportunities for GA to provide services to project in areas such as offsets, on-ground environmental work and rehabilitation work. No obvious concerns about the project. 	Ongoing engagement will occur	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER - EPASU & DoEE	28/08/2017	Memorandum	Sent to: DWER - EPASU: Richard Sutherland (Principal Environmental Officer, Mining and Industrial Assessments (South), Nyomi Bowers (Senior Assessment Officer). DoEE: Dionne Cassanell (Senior Assessment Officer, Project Assessments West Section). Sent from: Siobhan Pelliccia on behalf of Kidman.	Memorandum that described the proposed exploration activities at the Earl Grey Lithium Project. Provided for review and comment before being forwarded to the EPA and the DoEE.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EPASU: No response. DoEE: As these activities are not within the scope of the current referral, it is appropriate that you conduct a self-assessment to determine whether there are or are likely to be significant impacts to matters of national environmental significance. If you consider the activities are likely to have significant impacts, the activities should be separately referred to us. The Department advised the safest approach, which provides legal certainty, would be to refer the action separately. The referral should include the proposed avoidance and mitigation measures discussed including the outcome of the onsite targeted survey for the Malleefowl proposed early in September 2017. 	Approval received	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS – Environmental Branch	28/08/2017	Meeting	DMIRS: Clare Grosser (Acting General Manager Minerals – South), Ryan Hepworth. Kidman: Chris Williams Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia	Kidman delivered a presentation that provided: An introduction to Kidman Resources Limited. An overview of the Project (location, access, history). A description of the abandoned mine status of the project. A description of the proposed mining operation. A description of the environmental setting, completed baseline studies and preliminary impact assessment. A discussion of potential impacts on vulnerable species, focusing on the Chuditch and Malleefowl. An overview of consultation that has occurred to date. A discussion about the approvals pathway.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The area is considered to have unique biodiversity values. Kidman should consider looking at other Projects in the area that have been through a formal assessment process (e.g. Koolyanobbing Project). It may be possible for the Mining Proposal to be assessed in parallel with the ERD, however the Mining Proposal cannot be approved until after the Ministerial determination. Kidman should ensure they have a sound understanding of the contaminated sites status of the project. Re. PER, DMIRS will predominantly be providing input on aspects relating to waste rock management and mine closure. Regarding potential early works, it is possible that existing mining proposals that have been approved could be implemented, however approval is still required from the EPA and the Commonwealth Department of the Environment and Energy. Early works are generally limited to those that can be implemented through programs of work (e.g. bore field drilling, camp, exploration). 	Referral submitted and Mining Proposal to be submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DMIRS	18/08/2017	Memorandum	Sent to: DMIRS: Ryan Hepworth (Senior Office – Environment), Tyler Sujdovic (Senior Office – Environment) Sent from: Siobhan Pelliccia on behalf of Kidman.	Memorandum that described the proposed exploration activities at the Earl Grey Lithium Project. Provided for review and comment before being forwarded to the EPA and the DoEE.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The document provides a good overview of the exploration activities that you are proposing, and how potential impacts to MNES will be avoided or managed. Based on the information provided in the memo, the activities appear to be low-impact in nature and DMIRS would not consider the exploration programs to be an implementation of the broader Earl Grey Lithium project. 	Approval received	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DJTSI & DMIRS	11/08/2017	Meeting	DDJTSI: Gary Simmons (Executive Director) and Dylan Lipinski (General Manager – Strategic Projects) DMIRS: Ryan Hepworth (Senior Office – Environment), Tyler Sujdovic (Senior Office – Environment) Kidman: Kevin Dockery, Lance Bosch Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia	Discussion covered: Overview of the project presented. Discussion regarding hold up of PoWs – exploration.	DDJTSI/DMIRS suggested: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DMIRS and DJTSI will then most likely contact the EPA to discuss further if there are any issues. Prepare a brief memo that describes the proposed work (i.e. the necessity to complete resource drilling and sterilisation drilling), but also gives more context in terms of it being within a surrounding disturbed area (brownfields site etc.). Described how impacts to vulnerable flora/fauna would be managed. Provide maps etc. Provide the memo firstly to DMIRS to review and comment and then forward to the DoEE (cc'ing in DJTSI, DMIRS and EPA). 	Approvals submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU	25/07/2017	Meeting	DWER - EPASU: Richard Sutherland (Principal Environmental Officer, Mining and Industrial Assessments (South), Nyomi Bowers (Senior Assessment Officer) Kidman: Chris Williams, Lance Bosch Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia, James Cumming.	Discussion covered: Key preliminary factors for the project. Process and timeframe for a public environmental review. Public and agency comments on the referral. Perceived gaps in the environmental review document. Approvals process for preliminary works.	Meeting minutes were taken and reviewed by EPA. Record maintained by Blueprint.	Referral document updated and submitted	Acceptable
Mt Holland	NGOs – multiple stakeholders	16/05/2017	Letters	Conservation Council of WA: Piers Versteegen (Director). National Malleefowl Recovery Team: Tim Burnard (National Coordinator) Wilderness Society: Peter Robertson (State Coordinator)	Introduction to Kidman and the Project. Recognition of stakeholder status. Invitation to meet to discuss the Project.	No comments received at time of submission.	N/A	N/A

SITE	STAKEHOLDER	DATE	TYPE OF CONSULTATION	PERSONS INVOLVED	SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENTS RECEIVED AND ISSUES RAISED	PROPONENT RESPONSE AND/OR RESOLUTION	STAKEHOLDER RESPONSES TO CHANGES
Mt Holland	DBCA – Western Shield Group	5/05/2017	Meeting	DBCA: Ashley Millar. Kidman: Chris Williams Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia, Jill Woodhouse Western Wildlife: Jenny Wilcox (Lead Zoologist)	Overview of Project presented with focus on findings of fauna survey occurrence of Mallee fowl and Chuditch.	Information on the Western Shield Program and ways in which Kidman can assist in the program through sponsorship and provision of survey results.	Further engagement to occur	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Yilgarn	29/03/2017	Meeting	Shire of Yilgarn: Brian Jones (CEO), Robert Bosenberg (Manager of Works) Kidman: Kevin Dockery	Overview of the Project and use of Shire roads and maintenance thereof. Opportunities for local employment and use of local services.	Liaison with Shire of Yilgarn Regulatory Services was discussed in relation to Kidman ensuring compliance with current building codes and health regulations for buildings installed on site including construction of the accommodation village. Shire road maintenance agreements to be implemented.	Approvals to be submitted and agreements established	Acceptable
Mt Holland	Shire of Kondinin	28/03/2017	Meeting	Shire of Kondinin: Mia Dohnt (CEO), Mark Burges (Manager of Works) Kidman: Kevin Dockery (Project Manager)	Overview of the Project and use of Shire roads and maintenance thereof. Opportunities for local employment and use of local services.	Shire road maintenance agreements to be implemented.	Further engagement to occur and agreements established	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DoEE	20/03/2017	Meeting in Canberra	DoEE: Dionne Cassanell (Senior Assessment Officer, Project Assessments West Section), Angela Gillman (Assistant Director, Project Assessments West Section), Karen Mexon (Assessment Officer), Cassandra Elliott (Assessment Officer). Kidman: Chris Williams, Michael Green (Exploration Manager) Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia, James Cumming	Summary of project presented to DoEE (as described above for the EPASU) with a focus on matters of national significance, including the Chuditch, Mallee fowl and <i>Banksia sphaerocarpa</i> var. <i>dolichostyla</i> .	Discussed possible approval pathways. DoEE commented that provision of fauna management plans would assist in the assessment process. DoEE would want to have a clear understanding of impacts and measures to avoid or minimise impacts and any residual impact remaining after implementation of management measures.	Referral to DoEE undertaken	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DWER – EPASU & DMIRS	9/03/2017	Meeting	DWER - EPASU: Robert Hughes (Manager, Mining and Industrial South Branch) Helen Butterworth (Acting Principal Environmental Officer, Mining and Industrial South Branch). DMIRS: Ian Mitchell Kidman: Chris Williams Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia, James Cumming	Kidman delivered a presentation that provided details on: the Project (location, access, history); the abandoned mine status of the project; the proposed mining operation; the environmental setting, completed baseline studies and preliminary impact assessment; potential impacts on vulnerable species, focusing on the Chuditch, Mallee fowl and Banksia; consultation that has occurred to date; the approvals pathway.	The EPASU recommended that Kidman consult with the Department of Parks and Wildlife the Commonwealth Department of the Environment and Energy, due to the presence of significant species. DMIRS reaffirmed that any Mining Proposal would be referred to DBCA and/or the EPASU for advice due to the presence of significant species.	Pre-referral meeting held with Office of EPA and DBCA engagement occurred	Acceptable
Mt Holland	DBCA – Environmental Management Branch	9/03/2017	Phone Call	Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia DBCA: Daniel Coffey	Informed DBCA of meeting with the EPASU and DMIRS and requested a meeting to discuss the significant species in the Project area	DBCA communicated that although the Project was of interest, DBCA could not meet with proponents unless their project was located in DBCA managed land, or a formal request was made by DMIRS or the EPASU through a formal process.	Feedback acknowledged	N/A
Mt Holland	DMIRS	16/02/2017	Meeting	DMIRS: Ian Mitchell (Team Leader – Operations, Environment), Richard Smetana (Environmental Officer). Kidman: Chris Williams (General Manager) Blueprint Environmental Strategies: Siobhan Pelliccia (Environmental Advisor)	Overview of project presented to DMIRS, focusing on proposed operations, environmental setting, baseline study results, presence of Chuditch, Malleefowl and vulnerable flora, opportunities for rehabilitation of abandoned mine site.	DMIRS commented on the potential positive outcomes associated with rehabilitation of historic disturbances. DMIRS suggested a pre-referral meeting be held with the Office of the Environmental Protection Authority to discuss significant species.	Pre-referral meeting held with Office of EPA	Acceptable